



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,160	08/27/2003	Douglas Gene Keithley	MP0976(13036/17	7056
60537 7590 07/09/2008 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE/MARVELL P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			EXAMINER PHAM, THIERRY L	
			ART UNIT 2625	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/09/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/650,160	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KEITHLEY, DOUGLAS GENE	
	<b>Examiner</b> THIERRY L. PHAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THIERRY L. PHAM.

(3) Amir Penn.

(2) Anthony Wen.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 11, 18, and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6333998 to Matsumoto.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion includes the cited prior art of record (US 6333998 to Matsumoto) and proposed amendments to independent claims. The examiner herein agrees that the proposed amendment ("optimized to minimize scattering of toner on a media caused by ventilation of gas and water vapor") to claims 1, 11, 18, and 28 overcome the cited prior art of record (US 6333998 to Matsumoto).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thierry L. Pham/  
Art Unit 2625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required